

# COMP3610/6361

## Principles of Programming Languages

Peter Höfner

Sep 27, 2023

## Section 17

# Axiomatic Semantics

## Floyd-Hoare Logic

**Idea:** develop proof system as an inductively-defined set; every member will be a valid partial correctness statement

Judgement

$$\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$$

## Floyd-Hoare Logic – Skip

(skip)  $\vdash \{P\} \mathbf{skip} \{P\}$

## Floyd-Hoare Logic – Assignment

(assign)  $\vdash \{P[a/l]\} l := a \{P\}$

Notation:  $P[a/l]$  denotes substitution of  $a$  for  $l$  in  $P$ ;  
in operational semantics we wrote  $\{a/l\} P$

Example

$$\{7 = 7\} l := 7 \{l = 7\}$$

## Floyd-Hoare Logic – Incorrect Assignment

(wrong1)  $\vdash \{P\} l := a \{P[a/l]\}$

Example

$$\{l = 0\} l := 7 \{7 = 0\}$$

(wrong2)  $\vdash \{P\} l := a \{P[l/a]\}$

Example

$$\{l = 0\} l := 7 \{l = 0\}$$

## Floyd-Hoare Logic – Sequence, If, While

$$\text{(seq)} \quad \frac{\vdash \{P\} c_1 \{R\} \quad \vdash \{R\} c_2 \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} c_1 ; c_2 \{Q\}}$$

$$\text{(if)} \quad \frac{\vdash \{P \wedge b\} c_1 \{Q\} \quad \vdash \{P \wedge \neg b\} c_2 \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \{Q\}}$$

$$\text{(while)} \quad \frac{\vdash \{P \wedge b\} c \{P\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{while } b \text{ do } c \{P \wedge \neg b\}}$$

*P acts as loop invariant*

## Floyd-Hoare Logic – Consequence

We cannot combine arbitrary triple yet

$$\frac{\frac{}{\vdash \{3 = 3\} \ l := 3 \ \{l = 3\}} \text{(assign)} \quad \frac{\dots}{\vdash \{l \geq 2\} \ l := !l - 2 \ \{l \geq 0\}}}{\vdash \{3 = 3\} \ l := 3 ; l := !l - 2 \ \{l \geq 0\}}$$



## Floyd-Hoare Logic – Consequence

strengthen pre-conditions and weaken post-conditions

$$\text{(cons)} \quad \frac{\models P \Rightarrow P' \quad \vdash \{P'\} c \{Q'\} \quad \models Q' \Rightarrow Q}{\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}}$$

Recall:  $\models P \Rightarrow P'$  denotes assertion validity

## Floyd-Hoare Logic – Summary

(skip)  $\vdash \{P\} \text{ skip } \{P\}$

(assign)  $\vdash \{P[a/l]\} l := a \{P\}$

(seq) 
$$\frac{\vdash \{P\} c_1 \{R\} \quad \vdash \{R\} c_2 \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} c_1 ; c_2 \{Q\}}$$

(if) 
$$\frac{\vdash \{P \wedge b\} c_1 \{Q\} \quad \vdash \{P \wedge \neg b\} c_2 \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{ if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2 \{Q\}}$$

(while) 
$$\frac{\vdash \{P \wedge b\} c \{P\}}{\vdash \{P\} \text{ while } b \text{ do } c \{P \wedge \neg b\}}$$

(cons) 
$$\frac{\models P \Rightarrow P' \quad \vdash \{P'\} c \{Q'\} \quad \models Q' \Rightarrow Q}{\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}}$$

## Floyd-Hoare Logic – Exercise

$$\{l_0 = n \wedge n > 0\}$$
$$l_1 := 1 ;$$
$$\mathbf{while} \ !l_0 > 0 \ \mathbf{do}$$
$$l_1 := !l_1 \cdot !l_0 ;$$
$$l_0 := !l_0 - 1$$
$$\{l_1 = n!\}$$

## Soundness and Completeness

how do  $\vdash$  (judgement) and  $\models$  (validity) relate?

### Soundness:

if a partial correctness statement can be derived ( $\vdash$ ) then it is valid ( $\models$ )

### Completeness:

if the statement is valid ( $\models$ ) then a derivation exists ( $\vdash$ )

# Soundness and Completeness

## Theorem (Soundness)

If  $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$  then  $\models \{P\} c \{Q\}$ .

### Proof.

Induction on the derivation of  $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$ .

□

## Soundness and Completeness

### Conjecture (Completeness)

If  $\models \{P\} c \{Q\}$  then  $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$ .

Rule (cons) spoils completeness

$$\text{(cons)} \quad \frac{\models P \Rightarrow P' \quad \vdash \{P'\} c \{Q'\} \quad \models Q' \Rightarrow Q}{\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}}$$

Can we derive  $\models P \Rightarrow P'$ ?

No, according to Gödel's incompleteness theorem (1931)

## Soundness and Completeness

### Theorem (Relative Completeness)

$P, Q \in \text{assn}, c \in \text{com}. \models \{P\} c \{Q\}$  implies  $\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}$ .

Floyd-Hoare logic is no more incomplete than our language of assertions

Proof depends on the notion of *weakest liberal preconditions*.

## Decorated Programs

**Observation:** once loop invariants and uses of consequence are identified, the structure of a derivation in Floyd-Hoare logic is determined  
Write “proofs” by decorating programs with:

- a precondition ( $\{P\}$ )
- a postcondition ( $\{Q\}$ )
- invariants ( $\{I\}$  **while**  $b$  **do**  $c$ )
- uses of consequence ( $\{R\} \Rightarrow \{S\}$ )
- assertions between sequences ( $c_1 ; \{T\}c_2$ )

decorated programs describe a valid Hoare logic proof if the rest of the proof tree's structure is implied  
(caveats: Invariants are constrained, etc.)



## (Informal) Rules for Decoration

**Idea:** check whether a decorated program represents a valid proof using local consistency checks

### **skip**

pre and post-condition should be the same

$$\frac{\{P\}}{\mathbf{skip} \{P\}} \quad (\text{skip}) \vdash \{P\} \mathbf{skip} \{P\}$$

## (Informal) Rules for Decoration

### assignment

use the substitution from the rule

$$\frac{\{P[a/l]\} \quad l := a \quad \{P\}}{(\text{assign}) \vdash \{P[a/l]\} \quad l := a \quad \{P\}}$$

### sequencing

$\{P\} \ c_1 \ \{R\}$  and  $\{R\} \ c_2 \ \{Q\}$  should be (recursively) locally consistent

$$\frac{\{P\} \ c_1 \ \{R\} \quad \{R\} \ c_2 \ \{Q\}}{(\text{seq}) \vdash \{P\} \ c_1 \ ; \ c_2 \ \{Q\}}$$

## (Informal) Rules for Decoration

### if then

both branches are locally consistent; add condition to both

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \{P\} \\
 \text{if } b \text{ then} \\
 \quad \{P \wedge b\} \\
 \quad c_1 \\
 \quad \{Q\} \\
 \text{else} \\
 \quad \{P \wedge \neg b\} \\
 \quad c_2 \\
 \quad \{Q\} \\
 \{Q\}
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{(if)} \quad \frac{\vdash \{P \wedge b\} \ c_1 \ \{Q\} \quad \vdash \{P \wedge \neg b\} \ c_2 \ \{Q\}}{\vdash \{P\} \ \text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \ \text{else } c_2 \ \{Q\}}$$

## (Informal) Rules for Decoration

### while

add/create loop invariant

$$\begin{array}{l} \{P\} \\ \mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \\ \quad \{P \wedge b\} \\ \quad c \\ \quad \{P\} \\ \{P \wedge \neg b\} \end{array}$$
$$\text{(while)} \quad \frac{\vdash \{P \wedge b\} \ c \ \{P\}}{\vdash \{P\} \ \mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ c \ \{P \wedge \neg b\}}$$

## (Informal) Rules for Decoration

### consequence

always write a (valid) implication

$$\{P\} \Rightarrow \{P'\} \quad (\text{cons}) \quad \frac{\models P \Rightarrow P' \quad \vdash \{P'\} c \{Q'\} \quad \models Q' \Rightarrow Q}{\vdash \{P\} c \{Q\}}$$

## Floyd-Hoare Logic – Exercise

$$\{l_0 = n \wedge n > 0\}$$
$$l_1 := 1 ;$$
$$\mathbf{while} \ !l_0 > 0 \ \mathbf{do}$$
$$l_1 := !l_1 \cdot l_0 ;$$
$$l_0 := !l_0 - 1$$
$$\{l_1 = n!\}$$

## Floyd-Hoare Logic – Exercise

$$\{l_0 = n \wedge n > 0\} \Rightarrow$$
$$\{1 = 1 \wedge l_0 = n \wedge n > 0\}$$
$$l_1 := 1 ;$$
$$\{l_1 = 1 \wedge l_0 = n \wedge n > 0\} \Rightarrow$$
$$\{l_1 \cdot l_0! = n! \wedge l_0 \geq 0\}$$

**while**  $!l_0 > 0$  **do**

$$\{l_1 \cdot l_0! = n! \wedge l_0 > 0 \wedge l_0 \geq 0\} \Rightarrow$$
$$\{l_1 \cdot l_0 \cdot (l_0 - 1)! = n! \wedge (l_0 - 1) \geq 0\}$$
$$l_1 := !l_1 \cdot l_0 ;$$
$$\{l_1 \cdot (l_0 - 1)! = n! \wedge (l_0 - 1) \geq 0\}$$
$$l_0 := !l_0 - 1$$
$$\{l_1 \cdot l_0! = n! \wedge l_0 \geq 0\}$$
$$\{l_1 \cdot l_0! = n! \wedge (l_0 \geq 0) \wedge \neg(l_0 > 0)\} \Rightarrow$$
$$\{l_1 = n!\}$$