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| Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Q6 | Q7 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 25 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 10 | 25 | 150 |

Please read each of the following statements very carefully, some of them are trying to trick you. For each of the statements, state whether it is true or false and justify your answer in 1-2 sentences. Each correct answer gives 1 point and each correct justification gives 3 additional points.
(a) The union of infinitely many regular languages is regular.
(b) For a regular language $L$ containing exactly $n$ strings, there is a nondeterministic finite automaton with at most $n$ states that accepts $L$.
(c) If a language fulfills the condition of the pumping lemma, then it is regular.
(d) If a context-free grammar has no unit productions and no $\epsilon$-productions, then for any given string, the height of all parse trees of that string is bounded.
(e) The language $L=\{(M, w) \mid M$ does not accept $w\}$ is recursively enumerable.
(f) If a language $L$ is recursively enumerable and its complement $L^{c}$ is recursively enumerable, then $L$ is decidable.
(g) There are undecidable languages over the alphabet containing only one symbol.
(h) $P$ is known to be closed under intersection.
(i) $N P$ is the set of all problems that do not have a polynomial-time algorithm.
(j) If $L_{1}$ is $N P$-complete, $L_{2}$ is $N P$ and $L_{1} \leq_{P} L_{2}$, then $L_{2}$ is $N P$-complete.

Consider the following finite automaton $A$ over the alphabet $\Sigma=\{a, b, c\}$.

(a) Is $A$ deterministic? If not, convert $A$ into a DFA.
(b) Is $A$ minimal? If not, convert $A$ into a minimal DFA.
(c) Convert $A$ into a regular expression.

Question 3
Consider the language

$$
L=\{M \mid M \text { is a Turing machine that has at least one unreachable state }\} .
$$

Prove that $L$ is undecidable.

Explain in 3-4 clear English sentences why NP-complete problems are considered intractable.

Consider the subgraph-isomorphism problem: given two graphs $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$, does $G_{1}$ contain a copy of $G_{2}$ as a subgraph? I.e., is there a subset $U \subseteq V_{1}$ and a function $i: U \rightarrow V_{2}$ such that

$$
\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in E_{1} \cap(U \times U) \Longleftrightarrow\left(i\left(v_{1}\right), i\left(v_{2}\right)\right) \in E_{2} ?
$$

(a) Prove that the subgraph-isomorphism problem is in NP.
(b) The $k$-clique problem is the question whether a given graph $G=(V, E)$ has a subset of vertices $C \subseteq V$ such that $C$ has at least $k$ elements and every two vertices in $C$ are connected with an edge in $G$.
Show that the clique problem can be reduced to the subgraph-isomorphism problem: Give a polynomial-time computable function $f$ such that $G$ has a $k$-clique if and only if $G_{2}$ is a subgraph of $G_{1}$ for $\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)=f(G)$. (Hint: choose $G_{2}$ to be the complete graph with $k$ vertices.)

Explain in 3-4 clear English sentences why $P \stackrel{?}{=}$ NP question is more difficult to decide than PSPACE $\stackrel{?}{=}$ NPSPACE?

Let $L \subseteq \Sigma^{*}$ be such that there exists a polynomial-time probabilistic Turing machine (PTM) $M$ satisfying for every $w \in \Sigma^{*}:(1)$ If $w \in L$, then $\operatorname{Pr}[M$ accepts $w] \geq n^{-c}$ and (2) if $w \notin L$, then $\operatorname{Pr}[M$ accepts $w]=0$. Prove that for every $d>0$ there exists a polynomial-time PTM $M^{\prime}$ such that for every $w \in \Sigma^{*}$, (1) if $w \in L$ then $\operatorname{Pr}[M$ accepts $w] \geq 1-2^{-n^{d}}$, and (2) if $w \notin L$ then $\operatorname{Pr}[M$ accepts $w]=0$.

