

COMP4011/8011 Advanced Topics in Formal Methods and Programming Languages

Software Verification with Isabelle/HOL –

Peter Höfner

August 26, 2024



Section 11

Datatypes



Datatypes

Example:

datatype 'a list = Nil | Cons 'a "'a list"

Properties:

Constructors:

Nil :: 'a list Cons :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list

 Distinctness: $Nil \neq Cons x xs$

Injectivity: (Cons x xs = Cons y ys) = $(x = y \land xs = ys)$



More Examples

Enumeration:

datatype answer = Yes | No | Maybe

Polymorphic:

datatype 'a option = None | Some 'a **datatype** ('a,'b,'c) triple = Triple 'a 'b 'c

Recursion:

datatype 'a list = Nil | Cons 'a "a list" datatype 'a tree = Tip | Node 'a "a tree" "a tree"

Mutual Recursion:

datatype even = EvenZero | EvenSucc odd and odd = OddSucc even

4



Nested

Nested recursion:

```
datatype 'a tree = Tip | Node 'a "'a tree list"
datatype 'a tree = Tip | Node 'a "'a tree option" "'a tree option"
```

Recursive call is under a type constructor.



The General Case

datatype
$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$
 $\tau = C_1 \tau_{1,1} \dots \tau_{1,n_1}$
 $C_k \tau_{k,1} \dots \tau_{k,n_k}$
• Constructors: $C_i :: \tau_{i,1} \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \tau_{i,n_i} \Rightarrow (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \tau$
• Distinctness: $C_i \dots \neq C_i \dots$ if $i \neq j$

• Injectivity: $(C_i x_1 ... x_{n_i} = C_i y_1 ... y_{n_i}) = (x_1 = y_1 \wedge ... \wedge x_{n_i} = y_{n_i})$

Distinctness and Injectivity applied automatically



How is this Type Defined?

datatype 'a list = Nil | Cons 'a "'a list"

- internally reduced to a single constructor, using product and sum
- constructor defined as an inductive set (like typedef)
- recursion: least fixpoint

More detail: Tutorial on (Co-)datatypes Definitions at isabelle.in.tum.de



Datatype Limitations

Must be definable as a (non-empty) set.

- Infinitely branching ok.
- · Mutually recursive ok.
- Strictly positive (right of function arrow) occurrence ok.

Not ok:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{datatype t} & = & C \ (t \Rightarrow bool) \\ & | & D \ ((bool \Rightarrow t) \Rightarrow bool) \\ & | & E \ ((t \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool) \end{array}
```

Because: Cantor's theorem (α set is larger than α)

8



Datatype Limitations

Not ok (nested recursion):

```
datatype ('a, 'b) fun_copy = Fun "'a \Rightarrow 'b" datatype 'a t = F "('a t, 'a) fun_copy"
```

- recursion in ('a1, ...,'an) t is only allowed on a subset of 'a1 ... 'an
- these arguments are called live arguments
- Mainly: in "'a ⇒ 'b", 'a is dead and 'b is live
- Thus: in ('a, 'b) fun_copy, 'a is dead and 'b is live
- type constructors must be registered as BNFs* to have live arguments
- BNF defines well-behaved type constructors, ie where recursion is allowed
- datatypes automatically are BNFs (that's how they are constructed)
- can register other type constructors as BNFs not covered here**

^{*} BNF = Bounded Natural Functors.

^{**} Defining (Co)datatypes and Primitively (Co)recursive Functions in Isabelle/HOL



Case

Every datatype introduces a case construct, e.g.

(case xs of []
$$\Rightarrow$$
 ... | y #ys \Rightarrow ... y ... ys ...)

In general: one case per constructor

- Nested patterns allowed: x#y#zs
- Dummy and default patterns with _
- · Binds weakly, needs () in context



Cases

apply (case_tac t)

creates *k* subgoals

$$\llbracket t = C_i \ x_1 \dots x_p; \dots \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \dots$$

one for each constructor C_i



Demo



Recursion



Why nontermination can be harmful

How about
$$f x = f x + 1$$
?

Subtract $f \times$ on both sides.

$$\Longrightarrow$$
 $0 = 1$

All functions in HOL must be total



Primitive Recursion

primrec guarantees termination structurally

Example primrec:

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{primrec} \  \  \, \text{app} :: \text{``a list} \Rightarrow \text{`a list} \Rightarrow \text{`a list''} \\ \textbf{where} \\ \text{``app Nil ys = ys''} \mid \\ \text{``app (Cons x xs) ys = Cons x (app xs ys)''} \end{array}
```

The General Case

If τ is a datatype (with constructors $C_1, ..., C_k$) then $f :: \tau \Rightarrow \tau'$ can be defined by **primitive recursion**:

$$f(C_1 y_{1,1} ... y_{1,n_1}) = r_1 \vdots f(C_k y_{k,1} ... y_{k,n_k}) = r_k$$

The recursive calls in r_i must be **structurally smaller** (of the form f a_1 ... $y_{i,j}$... a_p)



How does this Work?

primrec just fancy syntax for a recursion operator

```
Example: rec_list :: "'a \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'b list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'b list \Rightarrow 'a" rec_list f_1 f_2 Nil = f_1 rec_list f_1 f_2 (Cons x xs) = f_2 x xs (rec_list f_1 f_2 xs) app \equiv rec_list (\lambda ys. ys) (\lambda x xs xs'. \lambda ys. Cons x (xs' ys)) primrec app :: "'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list" where "app Nil ys = ys" | "app (Cons x xs) ys = Cons x (app xs ys)"
```



rec_list

Defined: automatically, first inductively (set), then by epsilon

$$\frac{\left(xs, xs'\right) \in \mathsf{list_rel}\ f_1\ f_2}{\left(\mathsf{Nil}, f_1\right) \in \mathsf{list_rel}\ f_1\ f_2} \qquad \frac{\left(xs, xs'\right) \in \mathsf{list_rel}\ f_1\ f_2}{\left(\mathsf{Cons}\ x\ xs, f_2\ x\ xs\ xs'\right) \in \mathsf{list_rel}\ f_1\ f_2}$$

rec_list $f_1 f_2 xs \equiv \mathsf{THE} \ y. \ (xs, y) \in \mathsf{list_rel} \ f_1 f_2$ Automatic proof that set def indeed is total function (the equations for rec_list are lemmas!)



Predefined Datatypes



nat is a datatype

datatype nat = 0 | Suc nat

Functions on nat definable by primrec!

primrec

$$f 0 = \dots$$

 $f (Suc n) = \dots f n \dots$



Option

datatype 'a option = None | Some 'a

Important application:

```
'b \Rightarrow 'a option \sim partial function:

None \sim no result

Some a \sim result a
```

Example:

```
primrec lookup :: 'k \Rightarrow ('k \times 'v) list \Rightarrow 'v option where lookup k [] = None | lookup k (x #xs) = (if fst x = k then Some (snd x) else lookup k xs)
```



Demo