## SHARED MEMORY PARALLEL COMPUTING

#### COMP4300/8300 PARALLEL SYSTEMS

#### **PROF. JOHN TAYLOR**

**APRIL 2024** 

3



Australian National University

2

4

## Logistics

- > Personal attendance to lectures highly encouraged
- Lecture material uploaded on the Parallel Systems website before the live lecture
- > Careful with usage of Gadi resources
- The course introduces the basics of the semantics of the programming models (Pthreads, OpenMP, CUDA).
- You are left with the task and the responsibility of their further exploration and practice to master these programming models.

## **Pthreads Demo**

## References

- Chapter 12 from Computer Systems A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition, Randal E. Bryant and David R. O'Hallaron, Pearson Education Heg USA, ISBN 9781292101767.
- Programming with POSIX Threads, David R. Butenhof, Addison-Wesley Professional, ISBN-13: 978-0201633924.

## PARALLEL COMPUTERS & PROGRAMMING MODELS, PTHREADS

۲

6

8

# THREAD SYNCRONIZATION

#### **Quick Review**

7

Why threads tend to have higher efficiency than processes in shared-memory parallelization?

What is the main risk of using shared memory address space?

#### **Synchronization Pitfalls**

What do you expect the code is going to print when executed on a multiprocessor?

#### #include <stdio.h> #include <stdlib.h>

#include <pthread.h>

- int shared\_counter = 0; // Shared global variable
- void\* increment\_counter(void\* arg) {
   for (int i = 0; i < 10000; ++i) {
   // Critical section: Increment the shared counter
   shared counter++:</pre>

pthread\_exit(NULL);

int main() { pthread\_t thread1, thread2;

// Create two threads
pthread\_create(&thread1, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL);
pthread\_create(&thread2, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL);

// Wait for both threads to finish
pthread\_join(thread1, NULL);
pthread\_join(thread2, NULL);

// Print the final value of the shared counter printf("Final shared counter value: %d\n", shared\_counter);

return 0;

TEQSA PROVIDERIO: PRV12002 (AUSTRALIAN UNIVERSITY) CRICOS PROVIDER CODE: 001200

#### **Synchronization Pitfalls**

What do you expect the code is going to print when executed on a single processor?

#include <stdio.h> #include <stdlib.h> #include <pthread.h> int shared\_counter = 0; // Shared global variable void\* increment\_counter(void\* arg) { for (int i = 0; i < 10000; ++i) { // Critical section: Increment the shared counter shared counter++: pthread\_exit(NULL); int main() { pthread\_t thread1, thread2; // Create two threads pthread\_create(&thread1, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL); pthread\_create(&thread2, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL); // Wait for both threads to finish pthread join(thread1, NULL); pthread\_join(thread2, NULL); // Print the final value of the shared counter printf("Final shared counter value: %d\n", shared\_counter); return (

## Synchronization Pitfalls

#### **Results:**

- > Final shared counter value: 14765
- > Final shared counter value: 16237
- > Final shared counter value: 12583

???

12

# int shared\_counter = 0; // Shared global variable void\* increment\_counter(void\* arg) { for (int i = 0; i < 10000; ++1) { // Critical section: increment the shared counter shared\_counter++; } pthread\_exit(NULL); int main() { pthread\_reat(athread1, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL); pthread\_creat(athread1, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL); // Wait for both threads to finish pthread\_join(thread3, NULL); } }</pre>

// Print the final value of the shared counter printf("Final shared counter value: %d\n", shared\_counter);

return 0;

#### **State Diagrams**

- A state diagram models the execution of n concurrent execution flows as a trajectory through an n-dimensional Cartesian space (only uniprocessor!)
- For thread i the instructions (L<sub>i</sub>, U<sub>i</sub>, S<sub>i</sub>) that manipulate the contents of the shared variable cnt constitute a critical section
- The instructions of a critical section must be all executed by a single thread at a time.

11



### **State Diagrams**

- In order to obtain correct results, each threads must have mutually exclusive access to the shared variable while it is executing instructions in the critical section (mutual exclusion).
- A trajectory that skirts the unsafe region will not cause run time errors (safe trajectory).
- In order to guarantee correct execution, we must synchronize threads so that they take safe trajectories.



## **The Critical Section Problem**

- The critical section problem is a fundamental synchronization problem in computer science and operating systems.
- It arises when multiple concurrent processes or threads share a common resource (such as memory, files, or hardware devices) and need to access it in an exclusive manner.
- The goal is to ensure that only one process can execute its critical section (the part of code that accesses the shared resource) at any given time.



## **The Critical Section Problem**

#### **Requirements**:

- Mutual Exclusion: At most one process can be in its critical section simultaneously.
- Freedom from deadlock: If no process is in its critical section and some processes want to enter, one of them should be allowed to enter.
- Bounded Waiting: There exists an upper bound on the number of times a process can wait to enter its critical section.



#### **The Critical Section Problem**

## The synchronization mechanism ensures correctness.

- It uses statements places before and after the critical section, called *preprotocol* and *postprotocol*, respectively.
- Assumption: assignment statements are atomic statements, as are evaluations of boolean conditions in control statements.
- An atomic statement is executed to completion without the possibility of interleaving/interrupt from another thread.



### **Synchronization Pitfalls**

#### **Explanation:**

- We have a shared global variable shared counter.
- Two threads (thread1 and thread2) increment this counter independently.
- The critical section (increment operation) is not protected by any synchronization mechanism (e.g., mutex or semaphore).
- As a result, a data race occurs when both threads simultaneously read and modify shared counter.
- The final value of shared\_counter is unpredictable due to the race condition.



for (int i = 0; i < 10000; ++i) {
 // Critical section: Increment the shared counter
 shared\_counter++;</pre>

pthread\_exit(NULL);

int main() { pthread\_t thread1, thread2;

// Create two threads pthread\_create(&thread1, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL); pthread\_create(&thread2, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL);

// Wait for both threads to finish
pthread\_join(thread1, NULL);
pthread\_join(thread2, NULL);

// Print the final value of the shared counter printf("Final shared counter value: %d\n", shared\_counter);

return 0;

TEOSA PROVIDER ID: PRV12002 (AUSTRALIAN UNIVERSITY) CRICOS PROVIDER CODE: 0012

15

## **Synchronization Pitfalls**

- To solve the synchronization pitfall in the previous example, we need to introduce proper synchronization mechanisms to protect the critical section (the shared counter increment).
- Specifically, we'll use a mutex (short for mutual exclusion) to ensure that only one thread can access the shared counter at a time.

#include <stdio.h> #include <stdlib.h> #include <pthread.h> int shared\_counter = 0; // Shared global variable void\* increment\_counter(void\* arg) { for (int i = 0; i < 10000; ++i) { // Critical section: Increment the shared counter shared counter++: pthread\_exit(NULL); int main() pthread\_t thread1, thread2; // Create two threads pthread\_create(&thread1, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL); pthread\_create(&thread2, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL); // Wait for both threads to finish pthread join(thread1, NULL); pthread\_join(thread2, NULL); // Print the final value of the shared counter printf("Final shared counter value: %d\n", shared\_counter);

return 0;

#### **Mutexes and Locks with Pthreads**

Specifically, we'll use a mutex (short for mutual exclusion) to ensure that only one thread can access the shared counter at a time.



#### **Mutexes and Locks with Pthreads**

In main we now initialize the mutex (short for mutual exclusion) to ensure that only one thread can access the shared counter at a time

>Final shared counter value: 20000

Thread Safety and volatile:

17

19

- While volatile ensures proper reads and writes, it does not provide thread safety
- It does not prevent data races or guarantee atomicity
- For synchronization between threads, use mutexes, semaphores, or other synchronization primitives



### **Synchronization Pitfalls**

Why does this code give the incorrect result on a uniprocessor?

- The OS will run threads concurrently: on a uniprocessor instructions will be interleaved.
- Some of the interleaving ordering will produce the correct results, others will not.
- > What about a multiprocessor execution?

20

#### #include <stdio.h> #include <stdlib.h>

#include <pthread.h>

- int shared\_counter = 0; // Shared global variable
- void\* increment\_counter(void\* arg) {
   for (int i = 0; i < 10000; ++i) {
   // Critical section: Increment the shared counter
   shared counter++:</pre>

, pthread\_exit(NULL);

int main() { pthread\_t thread1, thread2;

// Create two threads
pthread\_create(&thread1, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL);
pthread\_create(&thread2, NULL, increment\_counter, NULL);

// Wait for both threads to finish
pthread\_join(thread1, NULL);
pthread\_join(thread2, NULL);

// Print the final value of the shared counter printf("Final shared counter value: %d\n", shared\_counter);

return 0;

EQSA PROVIDERID: PRV12002 (AUSTRALIAN UNIVERSITY) CRCOS PROVIDER CODE: 00120

## **Example Solution: Dekker's Algorithm**

- The variables wantp and wantq ensure mutual exclusion.
- Suppose p detects contention by finding wantp == true (p3): it will consult the shared variable turn, to check whether it is its turn (turn == 1) to insist on entering its critical section.
- If so, it executes the loop at p3 and p4, called a busy-wait loop, until q resets wantq to false, either by terminating its critical section at q10 or by deferring in q5.
- If not, p will reset wantp to false and defer to thread q, waiting until q changes the value of turn after executing its critical section.

Algorithm 3.10: Dekker's algorithm					
boolean wantp $\leftarrow$ false, wantq $\leftarrow$ false					
integer turn $\leftarrow 1$					
р			q		
loop forever			loop forever		
1:	non-critical section	q1:	non-critical section		
2:	wantp $\leftarrow$ true	q2:	wantq ← true		
3:	while wantq	q3:	while wantp		
4:	if turn $= 2$	q4:	if turn $= 1$		
5:	wantp $\leftarrow$ false	q5:	wantq ← false		
5:	await turn $= 1$	q6:	await turn $= 2$		
7:	wantp $\leftarrow$ true	q7:	wantq ← true		
B:	critical section	q8:	critical section		
9:	turn ← 2	q9:	turn ← 1		
10:	wantp ← false	q10:	wantq ← false		

## **Semaphores**

A **semaphore** ensures that only one process can access the shared variable at a time.

We create a semaphore using semget and initialize it with an initial value (e.g., 1).

Both the parent and child processes use sem\_wait to wait for the semaphore before entering the critical section.



#### **Semaphores**

In this example, we create two processes (parent and child) that share a common variable using a semaphore.

Both the parent and child processes use sem\_wait to wait for the semaphore before entering the critical section.

After performing the critical section operation (incrementing the shared variable), they release the semaphore using sem\_post.



### **Semaphores**

#### Signaling Mechanism:

- Semaphores work based on signaling.
- Two fundamental atomic operations:
  - Wait (P): Decrements the semaphore value. If the value becomes negative, the calling thread waits (blocks).
  - Signal (V): Increments the semaphore value. If any threads were waiting, one of them is unblocked.

#### Advantages:

- Multiple threads can access the critical section simultaneously (controlled by the semaphore value).
- Semaphores are machine-independent.
- Allows a specified number of processes to enter (useful for limiting resources).

#### Common Use Cases:

- Controlling access to a pool of resources (e.g., limiting the number of concurrent database connections).
- Implementing producer-consumer patterns.
- Coordinating multiple threads or processes.

# OpenMP: Part I

## **OpenMP Reference Material**

- Using OpenMP The Next Step, R. van der Pas, E. Stotzer, and C. Terboven, Chapter 1
- http://www.openmp.org/
- Introduction to High Performance Computing for Scientists and Engineers, Hager and Wellein, Chapter 6 & 7
- > High Performance Computing, Dowd and Severance, Chapter 11
- Introduction to Parallel Computing, 2nd Ed, A. Grama, A. Gupta, G. Karypis, V. Kumar
- Parallel Programming in OpenMP, R. Chandra, L.Dagum, D.Kohr, D.Maydan. J.McDonald, R.Menon

#### **Shared Memory Parallel Programming**

- Explicit thread programming is messy
  - Iow-level primitives

27

- > complex data scoping and initialization not easy to port
- significant amount of boiler-plate code
- used by system programmers, but .... application programmers have better things to do!
- Many application codes can be supported by higher level constructs with the same performance
  - > led to proprietary directive based approaches of Cray, SGI, Sun, etc.
- OpenMP is an API for shared memory parallel programming targeting Fortran, C and C++
  - standardizes the form of the proprietary directives
  - avoids the need for explicitly setting up mutexes, condition variables, data scope, and a good part of explicit initialization

## OpenMP

- Specifications maintained by OpenMP Architecture Review Board (ARB)
   members include AMD, Intel, Fujitsu, IBM, NVIDIA
- Versions 1.0 (Fortran '97, C '98), 1.1 and 2.0 (Fortran '00, C/C++ '02), 2.5
- (unified Fortran and C, 2005), 3.0 (2008), 3.1 (2011), 4.0 (2013), 4.5 (2015), 5.0 (2018) \*\*\* OpenMP 6.0 will be released in 2024 \*\*\*
- Comprises compiler directives, library routines and environment variables
   C directives (case sensitive)
  - #pragma omp directive name [clause-list]
  - #pragma omp directive\_na
     > library calls begin with omp
    - void omp set num threads(nthreads)
  - environment variables begin with OMP\_\_\_\_\_
    - export OMP\_NUM\_THREADS=4
- OpenMP requires compiler support
  - > set -fopenmp (gcc) or -qopenmp (icc) compiler flags

### The Parallel Directive

- OpenMP uses a fork/join model, i.e. programs execute serially until they encounter a parallel directive:
  - this creates a group of threads
  - the number of threads dependent on an environment variable or set via function call
  - the main thread becomes master with thread id 0

```
#pragma omp parallel [ clause - list ]
    /* structured block */
```

- Each thread executes the structured block
- In C/C++ this is a brace-enclosed ({ code }) sequence of statements and declarations.

#### The OpenMP Execution Model

- An OpenMP starts in serial mode with one thread executing the serial code (*master thread*)
- At the beginning of the parallel region additional threads are created (*forking* from the master) by the runtime system forming a *thread team*
- > All threads are active in the parallel regions, executing the program in parallel.
- At the end of the parallel region threads are *joined*, with only the master continuing through the serial portion.
- > This is called the *fork-join* model.



#### The OpenMP Execution Model

- > The number of threads execution in the parallel region can be set through the OMP\_NUM\_THREADS environment variable.
- If the number of threads need to be more dynamic, the omp\_set\_num\_threads may be used prior to a parallel region.
- An alternative is to use the num\_threads<nt> clause on the parallel directive.
- Because of the join operation, the end of the parallel region is an *implicit synchronization point* (barrier).



## The OpenMP Memory Model

- Underlying the OpenMP standard is the pthreads memory model, but the distinction between private and shared is clearer.
- Whether a variable is private or shared as well as their initialization can be defined by *default rules*
- > These can also be explicitly controlled through appropriate clauses on a construct.
- > It is recommended to <u>not</u> rely on the default rules and explicitly label or "scope" variables.

32





29

TEQSA PROVIDER ID: PRV12002 (AUSTRALIAN UNIVERSITY) CRICOS PROVIDER CODE: 001200

#### The OpenMP Memory Model

#### **Private and Shared Variables**

- Private variables can be accessed only by the owning thread, no other thread may interfere.
- > Threads may even use the same name for a private variable without the risk of any conflict.
- Each thread has read and write access to the same shared variable, that is only one instance of a given shared variable exists.
- > Global or static variables are shared by default.



#### The OpenMP Memory Model

#### **Default Rules**

- Variables declared outside the parallel region are shared by default.
- > Global and static variables are also shared by default.
- Variables declared inside the parallel region are private by default.



**Data Sharing Clauses** 

- The private (shared) clause may be used to make a variable thread private (shared).
- > One needs to be careful with initialization.
- > The firstprivate clause guarantees that all threads have a pre-initialized copy of a variable
- The default clause is used to give a default data sharing attribute (none, shared, private) to all variables.
- When default (none) is used, the programmer is forced to specify data-sharing attributes for all variables in the construct.



#### Data Sharing Attributes

#### **Default Rules**

- Variables declared outside the parallel region are shared by default.
- Global and static variables are also shared by default.
- Variables declared inside the parallel region are **private** by default.



#### **OpenMP: The Work-Sharing Directives**

- Used to distribute work among threads in a team.
- > They specify the way the work has to be distributed among threads.
- Work-sharing directive must bind to a parallel region, otherwise is simply ignored.
- > Work-sharing constructs do not have a barrier at entry.
- By default, a barrier is implemented at the end of the work-sharing region. The programmer can suppress the barrier with use of the nowaitclause.

Syntax in C/C++	Syntax in Fortran
#pragma omp for	!\$omp do
#pragma omp sections	<b>!</b> \$omp sections
#pragma omp single	!\$omp single
	<b>!</b> somp workshare
	Syntax in C/C++ #pragma omp for #pragma omp sections #pragma omp single

#### The for Work-Sharing Directives

Used in conjunction with  $\ensuremath{\texttt{parallel}}$  directive to partition the for loop immediately afterwards

- > The loop index (i) is made private by default
- > Only two directives plus the sequential code (code is easy to read/maintain)
- > Limited to loops where number of iterations can be counted

There is implicit synchronization at the end of the loop

Can add a nowait clause to prevent synchronization

#### **The** for **Work-Sharing Directives**

- The order in which threads execute is not predictable (OS scheduled).
- The way to map iterations to threads can be specified by the programmer (see later schedule clause).
- If the programmer does not specify the mapping between threads and iterations, the compiler decides which strategy to use.

Thread0executesloopiteration0Thread0executesloopiteration1Thread0executesloopiteration2Thread3executesloopiteration8Thread2executesloopiteration5Thread2executesloopiteration6Thread1executesloopiteration3Thread1executesloopiteration4

#### The sections Work-Sharing Directives

- Consider partitioning of fixed number of tasks across threads
- Each section must be a structured block that is independent from the other sections.
- Separate threads will run taskA and taskB
- > Illegal to branch in or out of section blocks

#### Note:

- Much less common than for loop partitioning
- Explicit programming naturally limits number of threads (scalability)
- Potential load imbalance



TEQSA PE

## The single Work-Sharing Directives

- This directive specifies that only one thread must execute the code in the structured block following it.
- > It does not state which thread should execute the code.

41

```
# pragma omp parallel shared ( a, b, n)
{
    # pragma omp single
    {
        a = 10;
    } /* A barrier is automatically inserted here */
    # pragma omp for
    for ( i = 0; i < n; ++i)
    {
        b[ i] = a;
    }/* Another barrier is automatically inserted here */
}</pre>
```

#### Combined parallel Work-Sharing Directives

- When there is only one worksharing directive it can be combined with the parallel one to improve readability.
- Only clauses that are allowed by both the parallel and the specific work-share directive are allowed, otherwise the code is illegal.
- The compiler may optimize code further (*e.g.* remove redundant barriers).

