Type Families in Haskell

Manuel M. T. Chakravarty University of New South Wales

> Joint work with Gabriele Keller Simon Peyton Jones Simon Marlow

... and more recently Tom Schrijvers Martin Sulzmann



Motivation

Type classes

- Most innovative feature of Haskell
- Proved useful beyond simple overloading of equality, ordering, and arithmetic functions



Motivation

Type classes

- Most innovative feature of Haskell
- Proved useful beyond simple overloading of equality, ordering, and arithmetic functions

Multi-parameter type classes

- Haskell 98 permits only a single parameter to a type class
- Multiple parameters can require a lot of type annotations
- Functional dependencies were proposed as a solution:
 - Led to a lot of interesting type level programming
 - But their syntax is relational, not functional
 - And they have limits



Motivation

Type classes

- Most innovative feature of Haskell
- Proved useful beyond simple overloading of equality, ordering, and arithmetic functions

Multi-parameter type classes

- Haskell 98 permits only a single parameter to a type class
- Multiple parameters can require a lot of type annotations
- Functional dependencies were proposed as a solution:
 - Led to a lot of interesting type level programming
 - But their syntax is relational, not functional
 - And they have limits

C++ success story

• typedefs in classes \Rightarrow traits classes in the STL



Type Classes in a Nutshell

Ad-hoc polymorphism (overloading)

class $Eq \ a$ where (==) :: $a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Bool$



Type Classes in a Nutshell

Ad-hoc polymorphism (overloading)

class Eq a where $(==) :: a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Bool$ instance Eq Int where (==) = primEqInt



Ad-hoc polymorphism (overloading)

class Eq a where (==) :: $a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Bool$ instance Eq Int where (==) = primEqInt instance $(Eq a, Eq b) \Rightarrow Eq (a, b)$ where $(x_1, y_1) == (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 == x_2) \&\& (y_1 == y_2)$



Ad-hoc polymorphism (overloading)

class Eq a where (==) :: $a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Bool$ instance Eq Int where (==) = primEqInt instance $(Eq a, Eq b) \Rightarrow Eq (a, b)$ where $(x_1, y_1) == (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 == x_2) \&\& (y_1 == y_2)$

Full type of equality is...

$$(==) :: Eq a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Bool$$
 -- qualified type

Usage: (2, (3, 4)) == (2, (3, 4))

Why Type Families?

A motivating programming problem

- Family of containers with different representation types (e.g., lists, trees, arrays, bit sets)
- Representation type determines the element type plus additional constraints

Why Type Families?

A motivating programming problem

- Family of containers with different representation types (e.g., lists, trees, arrays, bit sets)
- Representation type determines the element type plus additional constraints

Type of the insertion function

insert :: Collects $c \Rightarrow Elem c \rightarrow c \rightarrow c$

where

- Collects c asserts that c represents a collection
- Elem c maps c to its element type

For example,

 $Elem [e] = e \qquad for Collects [e] \\ Elem BitSet = Char \qquad for Collects BitSet$

PLS

With associated type synonym families

class Collects c where

empty :: c $insert :: Elem c \to c \to c$ $toList :: c \to [Elem c]$

instance $Eq \ e \ \Rightarrow \ Collects \ [e]$ where

instance Collects BitSet where

. . .

instance (Collects c, Hashable (Elem c)) \Rightarrow Collects (Array Int c) where



With associated type synonym families

class Collects c where

type Elem c empty :: c insert :: Elem $c \rightarrow c \rightarrow c$ toList :: $c \rightarrow [Elem c]$ -- definition varies with *c*

PLS

instance $Eq \ e \Rightarrow Collects \ [e]$ where type $Elem \ [e] = e$

instance Collects BitSet **where type** Elem BitSet = Char

. . .

instance (Collects c, Hashable (Elem c)) \Rightarrow Collects (Array Int c) where type Elem (Array Int c) = Elem c



class Collects c where type Elem c empty :: c insert :: Elem $c \rightarrow c \rightarrow c$ toList :: $c \rightarrow [Elem c]$

foldr :: $(a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow b$ -- standard function

Make a collection from a list of elements

fromList :: ???
fromList l = foldr insert empty l

class Collects c where type Elem c empty :: c insert :: Elem $c \rightarrow c \rightarrow c$ toList :: $c \rightarrow [Elem c]$

foldr :: $(a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow b$ -- standard function

Make a collection from a list of elements

fromList :: *Collects* $c \Rightarrow [Elem c] \rightarrow c$ *fromList* l = foldr *insert empty* l Merge elements of one collection into another

 $\begin{array}{l} merge :: (Collects c1, Collects c2, ????) \\ \Rightarrow c1 \rightarrow c2 \rightarrow c2 \\ merge c1 c2 = foldr insert c2 (toList c1) \end{array}$

Make a collection from a list of elements

fromList :: *Collects* $c \Rightarrow [Elem c] \rightarrow c$ *fromList* l = foldr *insert empty* l Merge elements of one collection into another

 $\begin{array}{l} merge :: (Collects c1, Collects c2, Elem c1 \sim Elem c2) \\ \Rightarrow c1 \rightarrow c2 \rightarrow c2 \\ merge c1 c2 = foldr insert c2 (toList c1) \end{array}$

We need equality constraints

Make a collection from a list of elements

fromList :: *Collects* $c \Rightarrow [Elem c] \rightarrow c$ *fromList* l = foldr *insert empty* l

Type Indexed Families of Types

Haskell 98 type classes define families of values Overloaded functions are typed-indexed families of values:

$$(+) :: Num a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$$

$$\approx$$

$$addInt :: Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int$$

$$addFloat :: Float \rightarrow Float \rightarrow Float$$

$$\vdots$$



Type Indexed Families of Types

Haskell 98 type classes define families of values Overloaded functions are typed-indexed families of values:

$$(+) :: Num a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$$

$$\approx$$

$$addInt :: Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int$$

$$addFloat :: Float \rightarrow Float \rightarrow Float$$

$$\vdots$$

We add families of types

Typed-indexed families of types map index types to family members:

$$\textit{Elem} :: \star \to \star \qquad \approx$$

$$Elem [e] = e$$
$$Elem BitSet = Char$$

PLS

Type families need not be associated

- We associated the family *Elem* with the class *Collects*
- Such associations are often convenient, but they are not essential (familiy declarations in classes are just sugar)



Type families need not be associated

- We associated the family *Elem* with the class *Collects*
- Such associations are often convenient, but they are not essential (familiy declarations in classes are just sugar)

Bounded lists

data Zero; data Succ a;

-- empty data type representing

PLS

-- Peano numbers as types

-- adding type numbers type family Add :: $\star \rightarrow \star \rightarrow \star$ type instance Add Zero y = ytype instance Add (Succ x) y = Succ (Add x y)

data *BList n a* **where** -- bounded lists as GADT *BNil* :: *BList Zero a BCons* :: $a \rightarrow BList n a \rightarrow BList (Succ n) a$



Data Type Families

Unboxed arrays

- · Boxed array: array of pointers to heap objects
- Unboxed array: array of basic types (as in C)



Data Type Families

Unboxed arrays

- Boxed array: array of pointers to heap objects
- Unboxed array: array of basic types (as in C)

Flattened arrays

Array representation depends on the element type:

data family Array e **data instance** Array Int = IntArr UnboxedIntArr data instance Array(a, b)

- -- family declaration (lifted)
- **data instance** *Array Float* = *IntArr UnboxedFloatArr*
 - = PairArr(Array a)(Array b)

Data Type Families

Unboxed arrays

- Boxed array: array of pointers to heap objects
- Unboxed array: array of basic types (as in C)

Flattened arrays

Array representation depends on the element type:

data family Array e **data instance** *Array Int* = *IntArr UnboxedIntArr* **data instance** *Array Float* = *IntArr UnboxedFloatArr* data instance Array(a, b)data instance Array (Array a) = ArrArr Segd (Array a) type Segd

- -- family declaration (lifted)

- = PairArr(Array a)(Array b)

= Array Int

 $[:[:1, 2:], [::], [:3, 4, 5:]:] \Rightarrow ArrArr [:2, 0, 3:] [:1, 2, 3, 4, 5:]$

A fairly wild idea: Class Families

John Hughes' Restricted Data TypesThe following general set API type is too general:class Set s whereempty:: s ainsert:: s ainsert:: s a



A fairly wild idea: Class Families

John Hughes' Restricted Data Types The following general set API type is too general: class Set s where empty :: s a insert :: a \rightarrow s a \rightarrow s a

Sets as lists (finite maps) requires *Eq* (*Ord*) of elements! **instance** *Set* [] **where**

empty = [] insert x s | x 'elem' s = s | otherwise = x : s

PLS

A fairly wild idea: Class Families

John Hughes' Restricted Data Types

The following general set API type is too general:

class Set s where

class Restrict s a		associated class indexed by s
empty	::	s a
insert	::	<i>Restrict s a</i> \Rightarrow <i>a</i> \rightarrow <i>s a</i> \rightarrow <i>s a</i>

Associated class families to the rescue!

Sets as lists (finite maps) requires Eq (Ord) of elements!

instance Set [] where class $Eq a \Rightarrow Restrict$ [] a empty = [] insert x s | x 'elem' s = s | otherwise = x : sinstance $Eq a \Rightarrow Restrict$ [] a = -- Tiresome instance

PLS

Implementation Status

Where are we right now?

- Data families
 - Fully implemented in GHC 6.7
- Synonym families
 - Partially implemented; working at it
 - We think we know how to perform type inference with type families and GADTs
- Class families
 - Just an idea at this stage (should be easy to implement)

Further information

User manual

http://haskell.org/haskellwiki/GHC/Indexed_types

Implementation notes

http://hackage.haskell.org/trac/ghc/wiki/TypeFunctions

